

Noncommutative differential geometry and quantum effects of gravity

Haoyuan Gao/Xiao Zhang
Guangxi Center for Mathematical Research
Guangxi University
and
Institute of Mathematics, AMSS
Chinese Academy of Sciences

23rd International Conference on General Relativity and Gravitation
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
Liyang, China, July 3-8, 2022

Deformation Quantization

The usual pointwise product of functions is replaced by the noncommutative star product

- ▶ Weyl (1931), Wigner (1932), Groenewold (1946), Moyal (1949)
 - ▶ Root ideas
- ▶ 1964, Gerstenhaber
 - ▶ Deformation of rings and algebras
- ▶ 1977, Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz, Sternheimer
 - ▶ Definitive approach based on the deformation of algebra
- ▶ 1989, Fedosov
 - ▶ Deformation quantization of symplectic manifolds and index theory

- ▶ 1997, Kontsevich
 - ▶ Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, existence and classification of star products on Poisson manifolds
- ▶ 2005-2006, Aschieri, Blohmann, Meyer, Schupp, Wess
 - ▶ Noncommutative geometry and noncommutative gravity theory
- ▶ 2006, Chaichian, Tureanu, R.B. Zhang, X. Zhang
 - ▶ Deformation quantization of metrics and curvatures over a coordinate chart in manifolds, inspired by certain physical research
 - ▶ The theory is not covariant under coordinate transformations. And it fits the general feature of quantum world

- ▶ Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold. Let $U \subset M$ be a coordinate chart equipped with the local coordinate system $\{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$
- ▶ Over U , the metric g is written as

$$g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j, \quad (g_{ij}) \text{ is a symmetric } n \times n \text{ matrix}$$

- ▶ Deform g to \mathbf{g} over U by replacing usual function product to the Moyal product with constant skew-symmetric matrix (θ^{ij})
- ▶ \mathbf{g} is called a *quantum fluctuation* of g over U

- Let (θ^{ij}) be any constant skew-symmetric matrix. Let \hbar be the Planck constant. The Moyal product of two smooth functions u and v is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}u * v &= \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \exp\left(\hbar \theta^{ij} \partial_i \otimes \partial'_j\right) u(x) v(x') \\ &= uv + \hbar \theta^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j v + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \theta^{ik} \theta^{jl} \partial_{ij} u \partial_{kl} v + \dots\end{aligned}$$

which satisfies

- (i) $(u * v) * w = u * (v * w)$
- (ii) $[x^i, x^j] = 2\hbar \theta^{ij}$
- (iii) Leibniz rule:

$$\partial_i (u * v) = (\partial_i u) * v + u * (\partial_i v)$$

Noncommutative Metric

- ▶ From now on, matrix (θ^{ij}) is assumed to be constant
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i \hbar^i \}$ be the Moyal algebra on U equipped with the Moyal product, where f_i are smooth functions on U
- ▶ Denote $E_i = \tilde{E}_i = \partial_i$. The noncommutative left (right) tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_U ($\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U$) on U is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_U = \{ a^i * E_i \mid a^i \in \mathcal{A} \}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U = \{ \tilde{E}_i * a^i \mid a^i \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

- ▶ A noncommutative metric \mathbf{g} on U is an \mathcal{A} -bilinear map

$$\mathcal{T}_U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

such that the matrix (\mathbf{g}_{ij}) is invertible, where

$$\mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}(E_i, \tilde{E}_j)$$

- ▶ (\mathbf{g}_{ij}) is an $n \times n$ matrix which is not symmetric in general
- ▶ For any $V = v^i * E_i \in \mathcal{T}_U$, $W = \tilde{E}_j * w^j \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U$

$$\mathbf{g}(V, W) = v^i * \mathbf{g}_{ij} * w^j$$

- ▶ There exists unique matrix (\mathbf{g}^{ij}) such that

$$\mathbf{g}_{ik} * \mathbf{g}^{kj} = \mathbf{g}^{ik} * \mathbf{g}_{kj} = \delta_j^i$$

- ▶ Define $E^i = \mathbf{g}^{ij} * E_j$, $\tilde{E}^j = \tilde{E}_i * \mathbf{g}^{ij}$. Then

$$\mathbf{g}(E^i, \tilde{E}_j) = \mathbf{g}^{ik} * \mathbf{g}(E_k, \tilde{E}_j) = \delta_j^i$$

$$\mathbf{g}(E_i, \tilde{E}^j) = \mathbf{g}(E_i, \tilde{E}_k) * \mathbf{g}^{kj} = \delta_i^j$$

$$\mathbf{g}(E^i, \tilde{E}^j) = \mathbf{g}^{ik} * \mathbf{g}(E_k, \tilde{E}_l) * \mathbf{g}^{lj} = \mathbf{g}^{ij}$$

- ▶ The noncommutative left (right) cotangent bundle \mathcal{T}_U^* ($\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U^*$) on U is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_U^* = \{a_i * E^i \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}\}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U^* = \{\tilde{E}^i * a_i \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- ▶ \mathbf{g} is an element of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{T}_U^*$, which can be written as

$$\tilde{E}^i \otimes \mathbf{g}_{ij} * E^j = \tilde{E}^i * \mathbf{g}_{ij} \otimes E^j$$

- ▶ \mathbf{g}^{-1} is an element of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{T}_U$, which can be written as

$$\tilde{E}_i \otimes \mathbf{g}^{ij} * E_j = \tilde{E}_i * \mathbf{g}^{ij} \otimes E_j$$

Noncommutative Left and Right Covariant Derivatives

- ▶ A noncommutative left covariant derivative ∇_i is a mapping

$$\nabla_i : \mathcal{T}_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_U, \quad \nabla_i E_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k * E_k$$

which satisfies

- ▶ Linearity: $\nabla_i(E_k + E_l) = \nabla_i E_k + \nabla_i E_l$
 - ▶ Leibniz rule: $\nabla_i(f * E_k) = (\partial_i f) * E_k + f * \nabla_i E_k, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}$
- ▶ A noncommutative right covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}_i$ is a mapping

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}_j = \tilde{E}_k * \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$$

which satisfies

- ▶ Linearity: $\tilde{\nabla}_i(\tilde{E}_k + \tilde{E}_l) = \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}_k + \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}_l$
- ▶ Leibniz rule: $\tilde{\nabla}_i(\tilde{E}_k * f) = \tilde{E}_k * (\partial_i f) + \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}_k * f, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}$

Induced Covariant Derivatives on Cotangent Bundles

- ▶ The induced noncommutative left covariant derivative is

$$\nabla_i : \mathcal{T}_U^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_U^*, \quad \nabla_i E^j = \Gamma_{ik}^{*j} * E^k$$

- ▶ The induced noncommutative right covariant derivative is

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U^* \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U^*, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}^j = \tilde{E}^k * \tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^{*j}$$

- ▶ They are required to satisfy the compatible conditions

$$\partial_k \mathbf{g}(E_i, \tilde{E}^j) = \mathbf{g}(\nabla_k E_i, \tilde{E}^j) + \mathbf{g}(E_i, \tilde{\nabla}_k \tilde{E}^j) \implies \tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^{*j} = -\Gamma_{ik}^j$$

$$\partial_k \mathbf{g}(E^i, \tilde{E}_j) = \mathbf{g}(\nabla_k E^i, \tilde{E}_j) + \mathbf{g}(E^i, \tilde{\nabla}_k \tilde{E}_j) \implies \Gamma_{ik}^{*j} = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^j$$

- ▶ Thus

$$\nabla_i E^j = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^j * E^k, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}^j = -\tilde{E}^k * \Gamma_{ik}^j$$

Covariant Derivative along Vector Fields

- ▶ For vector fields $V = a^i * E_i$ or $W = \tilde{E}_i * a^i$

$$\nabla_V E_j := a^i * (\nabla_i E_j), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{E}_j := (\tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}_j) * a^i$$

- ▶ However, they are not consistent with the Leibniz rule, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V (f * E_j) &= (Vf) * E_j + f * \nabla_V E_j \\ &= a^i * (\partial_i f) * E_j + f * a^i * \Gamma_{ij}^k * E_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V (f * E_j) &= a^i \nabla_i (f * E_j) \\ &= a^i * (\partial_i f) * E_j + a^i * f * \Gamma_{ij}^k * E_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies f * a^i * \Gamma_{ij}^k = a^i * f * \Gamma_{ij}^k$$

- ▶ This last equality may not hold in general. But it does hold if f is constant
- ▶ It indicates that the noncommutative covariant derivative is not well-defined in terms of orthonormal basis

- ▶ Call $(\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n)$ a left connection and $(\tilde{\nabla}_1, \dots, \tilde{\nabla}_n)$ a right connection
- ▶ Denote $\Gamma_{ijl} = \Gamma_{ij}^k * \mathbf{g}_{kl}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ijl} = \mathbf{g}_{lk} * \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$
- ▶ A connection is *canonical* with respect to \mathbf{g} and Υ if it satisfies the following conditions
 - compatibility: $\partial_k \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}(\nabla_k E_i, \tilde{E}_j) + \mathbf{g}(E_i, \tilde{\nabla}_k \tilde{E}_j) = \Gamma_{kij} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{kji}$
 - torsion free: $\nabla_i E_j = \nabla_j E_i, \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{E}_j = \tilde{\nabla}_j \tilde{E}_i \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k, \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^k$
 - chirality: $\Gamma_{ijl} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ijl} = \Upsilon_{ijl}$
- ▶ These conditions can determine the connection uniquely

$$\Gamma_{ijl} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i \mathbf{g}_{jl} + \partial_j \mathbf{g}_{li} - \partial_l \mathbf{g}_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon_{ilj} + \Upsilon_{jil} - \Upsilon_{lji})$$

Covariant Derivative of Noncommutative Metric

- ▶ Define

$$\nabla_k \mathbf{g} := \tilde{E}^i \otimes \nabla_k \mathbf{g}_{ij} * E^j = \tilde{E}^i * \nabla_k \mathbf{g}_{ij} \otimes E^j$$

- ▶ By the Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k \mathbf{g} &= \tilde{\nabla}_k \tilde{E}^i \otimes \mathbf{g}_{ij} * E^j + \tilde{E}^i \otimes \partial_k \mathbf{g}_{ij} * E^j + \tilde{E}^i \otimes \mathbf{g}_{ij} * \nabla_k E^j \\ &= -\tilde{E}^l * \Gamma_{kl}^i \otimes \mathbf{g}_{ij} * E^j + \tilde{E}^i \otimes \partial_k \mathbf{g}_{ij} * E^j \\ &\quad - \tilde{E}^i \otimes \mathbf{g}_{ij} * \tilde{\Gamma}_{kl}^j * E^l \\ &= \tilde{E}^i \otimes (\partial_k \mathbf{g}_{ij} - \Gamma_{kij} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{kji}) * E^j \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For metric compatible connections

- ▶ It gives, $\nabla_k \mathbf{g}_{ij} = 0$
- ▶ Same argument shows $\nabla_k \mathbf{g}^{ij} = 0$

Curvatures of Canonical Connection

- ▶ $[E_i, E_j] = [\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{E}_j] = 0$
- ▶ Left and right Curvature tensors
 - ▶ $\mathcal{R}_{E_i E_j} := [\nabla_i, \nabla_j], \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tilde{E}_i \tilde{E}_j} := [\tilde{\nabla}_i, \tilde{\nabla}_j]$
- ▶ Riemannian curvatures
 - ▶ Left: $\mathbf{R}_{lkij} = \mathbf{g}(\mathcal{R}_{E_i E_j} E_k, \tilde{E}_l)$
 - ▶ Right: $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{lkij} = -\mathbf{g}(E_k, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tilde{E}_i \tilde{E}_j} \tilde{E}_l) = \mathbf{R}_{lkij}$
 - ▶ $\mathbf{R}_{lkij} = -\mathbf{R}_{lkji}, \mathbf{R}_{lkij} \neq -\mathbf{R}_{klij}$
- ▶ Ricci curvatures: Two different Ricci curvatures are yielded by contracting l, i and k, j in \mathbf{R}_{lkij} respectively
 - ▶ $\mathbf{R}_j^p := \mathbf{g}(\mathcal{R}_{E_i E_j} E^p, \tilde{E}^i) = \mathbf{g}^{pk} * \mathbf{R}_{lkij} * \mathbf{g}^{li}$
 - ▶ $\Theta_i^p := \mathbf{g}(\mathcal{R}_{E_i E_j} E^j, \tilde{E}^p) = \mathbf{g}^{jk} * \mathbf{R}_{lkij} * \mathbf{g}^{lp}$
- ▶ Scalar curvature: The traces of \mathbf{R}_j^i and Θ_j^i equal, and they are defined as the scalar curvature
 - ▶ $\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{R}_i^i = \Theta_j^j$

Bianchi Identities for Canonical Connection

- ▶ The first Bianchi identity (algebraic identity)
 - ▶ $\mathcal{R}_{E_i E_j} E_k + \mathcal{R}_{E_j E_k} E_i + \mathcal{R}_{E_k E_i} E_j = 0$
- ▶ The second Bianchi identity (differential identity)
 - ▶ Define

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_k \mathcal{R})_{E_i E_j} E_p &:= \nabla_k (\mathcal{R}_{E_i E_j}) E_p - \mathcal{R}_{(\nabla_{E_k} E_i) E_j} E_p \\ &\quad - \mathcal{R}_{E_i (\nabla_{E_k} E_j)} E_p - \mathcal{R}_{E_i E_j} (\nabla_{E_k} E_p)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $(\nabla_k \mathcal{R})_{E_i E_j} E_p + (\nabla_i \mathcal{R})_{E_j E_k} E_p + (\nabla_j \mathcal{R})_{E_k E_i} E_p = 0$
- ▶ Bianchi identities hold also for the right curvature tensor

Quantum Fluctuation via Isometric Embedding

- ▶ Let $X = (X^1, \dots, X^m)$ be the isometric embedding of (U, g_{ij}) into $(\mathbb{R}^{p, m-p}, \eta)$, $E_i = \partial_i X$, $\tilde{E}_i = (\partial_i X)^t$. Then

$$g_{ij} = \eta_{\alpha\alpha} \partial_i X^\alpha \cdot \partial_j X^\alpha = E_i \cdot \eta \tilde{E}_j$$

- ▶ The quantum fluctuation \mathbf{g} can be obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{ij} &= \eta_{\alpha\alpha} \partial_i X^\alpha * \partial_j X^\alpha = E_i * \eta \tilde{E}_j \\ \Upsilon_{ijl} &= \partial_i E_j * \eta \tilde{E}_l - E_l * \eta \partial_i \tilde{E}_j \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The curvatures of \mathbf{g} are completely determined

Noncommutative Einstein Field Equations

- ▶ 2008, D. Wang, R.B. Zhang, X. Zhang proposed the noncommutative Einstein field equations

$$\mathbf{R}_j^i - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T}_j^i, \quad \mathbf{\Theta}_j^i - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\mathbf{R} = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_j^i$$

where $\mathbf{T}_j^i, \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_j^i$ are noncommutative energy-momentum tensors

- ▶ The vacuum field equations are

$$\mathbf{R}_j^i = \mathbf{\Theta}_j^i = 0$$

- ▶ The second Bianchi identity does not imply the conservation of the energy-momentum in noncommutative case

Field Equations for Isometric Embedding

- ▶ 2022, H. Gao, X. Zhang
- ▶ If the quantum fluctuation \mathbf{g} is given by an isometric embedding, then the two Ricci curvatures \mathbf{R}_j^i and Θ_j^i can determine each other completely
- ▶ The Einstein field equations can be reduced to

$$\mathbf{R}_j^i - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T}_j^i$$

Spherically Symmetric Embedding

- ▶ Let $U = (0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi) \times \cdots \times (0, \pi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with standard spherical coordinates $(r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$
- ▶ An isometric embedding $X = (X^1, \dots, X^l, X^{l+1}, \dots, X^{l+n+1})$ of U into \mathbb{R}^{l+n+1} is called spherically symmetric if it has the form

$$X^1 = f^1(r)$$

...

$$X^l = f^l(r)$$

$$X^{l+1} = f^{l+1}(r) \sin \theta_n \sin \theta_{n-1} \cdots \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_1,$$

$$X^{l+2} = f^{l+2}(r) \sin \theta_n \sin \theta_{n-1} \cdots \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_1,$$

...

$$X^{l+n-1} = f^{l+n-1}(r) \sin \theta_n \sin \theta_{n-1} \cos \theta_{n-2},$$

$$X^{l+n} = f^{l+n}(r) \sin \theta_n \cos \theta_{n-1},$$

$$X^{l+n+1} = f^{l+n+1}(r) \cos \theta_n$$

Renormalization of Spherically Symmetric Embedding

- ▶ 2022, H. Gao, X. Zhang
- ▶ Let $X = (X^1, \dots, X^l, X^{l+1}, \dots, X^{l+n+1})$ be a spherically symmetric embedding with $f^{l+1} = f^{l+2}$.
- ▶ For suitable matrix (θ^{ij}) defining the Moyal product, the power series expansions of the quantum fluctuation \mathbf{g} and curvatures \mathbf{R}_{ijkl} , \mathbf{R}_j^i and \mathbf{R} converge to smooth functions of variables $r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ and \hbar
- ▶ Spherically symmetric embeddings are renormalizable

▶ $g_{Sch} = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\psi^2)$

▶ Kasner's embedding (1921)

$$X^1 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin t$$

$$X^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos t$$

$$X^3 = f(r), \quad (f')^2 + 1 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{r^4}\right)$$

$$X^4 = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$$

$$X^5 = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$$

$$X^6 = r \cos \theta$$

▶ $g_{Sch} = -(dX^1)^2 - (dX^2)^2 + (dX^3)^2 + (dX^4)^2 + (dX^5)^2 + (dX^6)^2$

► Fronsdal's embedding (1959)

$$X^1 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sinh t$$

$$X^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cosh t$$

$$X^3 = f(r), \quad (f')^2 + 1 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{r^4}\right)$$

$$X^4 = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$$

$$X^5 = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$$

$$X^6 = r \cos \theta$$

- $g_{Sch} = -(dX^1)^2 + (dX^2)^2 + (dX^3)^2 + (dX^4)^2 + (dX^5)^2 + (dX^6)^2$
- The restriction on t -slices of these two embeddings are spherically symmetric and the results of general spherically symmetric embeddings make sense

Quantum Fluctuation of Schwarzschild Spacetime

- ▶ 2008, D. Wang, R.B. Zhang, Z
- ▶ Choose (θ^{ij}) such that $\theta^{23} = -\theta^{32} = 1$, others=0
- ▶ Two embeddings give rise to the same quantum fluctuation

$$g_{00} = - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)$$

$$g_{01} = g_{10} = g_{02} = g_{20} = g_{03} = g_{30} = 0$$

$$g_{11} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)(\sin^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta) \sinh^2 \hbar\right]$$

$$g_{12} = g_{21} = 2r \sin \theta \cos \theta \sinh^2 \hbar$$

$$g_{13} = -g_{31} = -2r \sin \theta \cos \theta \sinh \hbar \cosh \hbar$$

$$g_{22} = r^2 \left[1 - (\sin^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta) \sinh^2 \hbar\right]$$

$$g_{23} = -g_{32} = r^2 (\sin^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta) \sinh \hbar \cosh \hbar$$

$$g_{33} = r^2 \left[\sin^2 \theta + (\sin^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta) \sinh^2 \hbar\right]$$

- ▶ The noncommutative scalar curvature \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{g} is

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{A(r, \theta, \hbar)}{B(r, \theta, \hbar)}$$

$$A(r, \theta, 0) = 0, \quad A(0, \theta, \hbar) \neq 0, \quad A(2m, \theta, \hbar) \neq 0,$$

$$B(r, \theta, \hbar) = 8r^2 \left[3r + 10m + (r - 2m)(4 \cosh 2\hbar + \cosh 4\hbar) - 16m \cos 2\theta \sinh^2 \hbar \right]^3$$

- ▶ It has the expansion

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{2m \left[5r - 3m + 2(r - 7m) \cos^2 \theta \right]}{r^4} \hbar^2 + \frac{O(r)}{r^5} \hbar^4 + \dots$$

Un-Evaporated Quantum Black Hole

- ▶ $\mathbf{g}_{00}|_{r=2m} = 0$, $\mathbf{g}_{11}|_{r=2m} = \infty$, $\mathbf{R}|_{r=0} = \infty$, $\mathbf{R}|_{r=2m}$ is regular
 - ▶ Deformation quantization of the Schwarzschild black hole is a quantum black hole
- ▶ Both \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{R} do not depend on time t
 - ▶ This quantum black hole can not be evaporated
- ▶ $\mathbf{g}|_{\hbar=0} = g_{Sch}$
 - ▶ It implies that, in frame of deformation quantization, if the big band created quantum black holes, they could develop to the present classical black holes in the universe

Plane-Fronted Gravitational Wave

- ▶ Brinkman (1925), Einstein-Rosen (1937), Ehlers-Kundt (1962)

$$g_{pp} = dx^2 + dy^2 + 2dudv + 2H(x, y, u)du^2$$
$$R_j^i = 0 \iff H_{xx} + H_{yy} = 0$$

- ▶ Additivity: $(H_i)_{xx} + (H_i)_{yy} = 0 \implies (\sum H_i)_{xx} + (\sum H_i)_{yy} = 0$
- ▶ Rosen (1965), Collinson (1968): isometric embedding

$$X = \left(x, y, \frac{Hu + u + v}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{Hu - \frac{u^2}{2}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{Hu - u + v}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{Hu + \frac{u^2}{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

- ▶ $g_{pp} = (dX^1)^2 + (dX^2)^2 + (dX^3)^2 + (dX^4)^2 - (dX^5)^2 - (dX^6)^2$

Quantum Fluctuation of Plane-F. Gravitational Wave

- ▶ 2008, D. Wang, R.B. Zhang, X. Zhang
- ▶ Let (θ^{ij}) be arbitrary constant skew symmetric 4×4 matrix
- ▶ The quantum fluctuation is

$$\mathbf{g}_{xx} = \mathbf{g}_{yy} = \mathbf{g}_{uv} = \mathbf{g}_{vu} = 1$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{xy} = \mathbf{g}_{yx} = \mathbf{g}_{xv} = \mathbf{g}_{vx} = \mathbf{g}_{yv} = \mathbf{g}_{vy} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{xu} = -\mathbf{g}_{ux} = -\hbar(\theta_{yu}H_{xy} + \theta_{xu}H_{xx})$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{yu} = -\mathbf{g}_{uy} = -\hbar(\theta_{yu}H_{yy} + \theta_{xu}H_{xy})$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{uu} = 2H$$

- ▶ $\mathbf{R}_3^4 = \Theta_3^4 = -H_{xx} - H_{yy}$, others = 0
- ▶ Exact solution: Vacuum $\iff H_{xx} + H_{yy} = 0$
- ▶ Additivity holds also

Thanks!